CERTIFIED RECORD

OF

PROCEEDINGS RELATING TO

BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1

BROOMFIELD COUNTY, COLORADO

AND THE BUDGET HEARING

FOR FISCAL YEAR

2024

STATE OF COLORADO)
COUNTY OF BROOMFIELD))ss
BASELINE METROPOLITAN)
DISTRICT NO. 1)

The Board of Directors of the Baseline Metropolitan District No. 1, Broomfield County, Colorado, held a meeting via Microsoft Teams Thursday, November 2, 2023, at 1:00 P.M.

The following members of the Board of Directors were present:

Kim Perry, President & Chairperson Tim DePeder, Vice President & Asst. Secretary Karen McShea, Treasurer

Directors Absent, but Excused: Kyle Harris, Vice President Josh Kane, Secretary

Also in Attendance: Alan Pogue; Icenogle Seaver Pogue, P.C. Bryan Newby, Kieyesia Conaway, Brendan Campbell, Irene Buenavista, Casey Milligan, Stanley Holder, and Adam Brix; Pinnacle Consulting Group, Inc. Jim Niemczyk, Mike McBride, Amanda Dwight, Samantha Romero, Megan Ott, Aden Rubinson, Amanda Dawley, Christina Rotella, and Griffin Barlow; McWhinney

Mr. Newby stated that proper publication was made to allow the Board to conduct a public hearing on the District's 2024 budget. Director Perry opened the public hearing on the District's proposed 2024 budget. There being no public comment on the District's budget, the public hearing was closed.

Thereupon, Director McShea moved to adopt the following Resolution:

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION SUMMARIZING REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES, ADOPTING A BUDGET, SETTING FORTH MILL LEVIES, AND APPROPRIATING SUMS OF MONEY TO THE GENERAL FUND IN THE AMOUNTS AND FOR THE PURPOSES SET FORTH HEREIN FOR THE BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1, BROOMFIELD COUNTY, COLORADO, FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR BEGINNING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY 2024, AND ENDING ON THE LAST DAY OF DECEMBER 2024,

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of the Baseline Metropolitan District No. 1 has authorized its consultants to prepare and submit a proposed budget to said governing body at the proper time; and

WHEREAS, the proposed budget has been submitted to the Board of Directors of the District for its consideration; and

WHEREAS, upon due and proper notice, published on October 22, 2023 in The Broomfield Enterprise, a newspaper having general circulation within the boundaries of the District, pursuant to statute, said proposed budget was available for inspection by the public at a designated public office, a public hearing was held on November 2, 2023, and interested electors were given the opportunity to file or register any objections to said proposed budget; and

WHEREAS, whatever increases may have been made in the expenditures, like increases were added to the revenues so that the budget remains in balance, as required by law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 OF BROOMFIELD COUNTY, COLORADO:

- Section 1. <u>2024 Budget Revenues</u>. That the estimated revenues for each fund as more specifically set out in the budget attached hereto are accepted and approved.
- Section 2. <u>2024 Budget Expenditures</u>. That the estimated expenditures for each fund as more specifically set out in the budget attached hereto are accepted and approved.
- Section 3. <u>Adoption of Budget for 2024.</u> That the budget as submitted and attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, and if amended, then as amended, is hereby approved and adopted as the budget of the Baseline Metropolitan District No. 1 for calendar year 2024.
- Section 4. <u>2024 Levy of Property Taxes.</u> That the foregoing budget indicated that the amount of money necessary to balance the budget from property taxes for the 2024 Budget year is \$0. That the 2023 valuation for assessment, as certified by the Broomfield County Assessor, is \$110.

- A. <u>Levy for General Operating Fund</u>. That for the purposes of meeting all general operating expense of the District during the 2024 budget year, there is hereby levied a tax of 0.000 mills upon each dollar of the 2023 total valuation of assessment of all taxable property within the District.
- Section 5. <u>Property Tax and Fiscal Year Spending Limits</u>. That, being fully informed, the Board finds that the foregoing budget and mill levies do not result in a violation of any applicable property tax or fiscal year spending limitation.
- Section 6. <u>Certification to County Commissioners</u>. The District's manager is hereby authorized and directed to immediately certify to the County Commissioners of Broomfield County, Colorado, the 0.000 mill levy for the District hereinabove determined and set. That said certification shall be in substantially the following form:

[Remainder of Page Left Blank Intentionally.]

CERTIFICATION OF TAX LEVIES for NON-SCHOOL Governments

TO: County Commissioners ¹ of	City and C	County of	Broomfig	, Colorado.
On behalf of the Baseline Metropolitan District No.	1			,
the Board of Directors	(tax	ing entity) ^A		
		verning body)	В	
of the Baseline Metropolitan District No.		l government)	C	
Hereby officially certifies the following mills to be levied against the taxing entity's GROSS assessed valuation of: Note: If the assessor certified a NET assessed valuation (AV) different than the GROSS AV due to a Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Area ^F the tax levies must be calculated using the NET AV. The taxing entity's total property tax revenue will be derived from the mill levy multiplied against the NET assessed valuation of: Submitted: (no later than Dec. 15) (mm/dd/yyyy)	\$\frac{110}{(GROSS^D ass}\$\$ \$\frac{110}{(NET^G asserted USE VALUE}\$\$	essed valuation essed valuation E FROM FIN. BY ASSESS	n, Line 2 of the Certificant, Line 4 of the Certificat AL CERTIFICATION OR NO LATER THAN cal year 2024	0 - 11
PURPOSE (see end notes for definitions and examples)		LEV		REVENUE ²
General Operating Expenses ^H			mills	\$
 <minus> Temporary General Property Tax Temporary Mill Levy Rate Reduction^I</minus> 	Credit/	<	> mills	\$< >
SUBTOTAL FOR GENERAL OPERATI	ING:		mills	\$
3. General Obligation Bonds and Interest ^J		0.000	mills	\$ 0.00
4. Contractual Obligations ^K	_		mills	\$
5. Capital Expenditures ^L	_		mills	\$
6. Refunds/Abatements ^M	_		mills	\$
7. Other ^N (specify):			mills	\$
			mills	\$
TOTAL: Sum of General Subtotal and Li	1 Operating nes 3 to 7	0.000	mills	\$ 0.00
Contact person: Irene Buenavista		Phone:	(970) 669-36	311
Signed: Ju-Bundh		Title:	District Accou	ıntant
Survey Question: Does the taxing entity have voperating levy to account for changes to assess Include one copy of this tax entity's completed form when filing Division of Local Government (DLG). Room 521, 1313 Sherm.	ment rates?	rnment's bud	get by January 31st, p	

Page 1 of 4 DLG 70 (Rev.9/23)

¹ If the taxing entity's boundaries include more than one county, you must certify the levies to each county. Use a separate form for each county and certify the same levies uniformly to each county per Article X, Section 3 of the Colorado Constitution.

² Levies must be rounded to <u>three</u> decimal places and revenue must be calculated from the total <u>NET assessed valuation</u> (Line 4 of Form DLG57 on the County Assessor's <u>FINAL</u> certification of valuation).

THIS SECTION APPLIES TO TITLE 32, ARTICLE 1 SPECIAL DISTRICTS THAT LEVY TAXES FOR PAYMENT OF GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT (32-1-1603 C.R.S.). Taxing entities that are Special Districts or Subdistricts of Special Districts must certify separate mill levies and revenues to the Board of County Commissioners, one each for the funding requirements of each debt (32-1-1603, C.R.S.) Use additional pages as necessary. The Special District's or Subdistrict's total levies for general obligation bonds and total levies for contractual obligations should be recorded on Page 1, Lines 3 and 4 respectively.

CERTIFY A SEPARATE MILL LEVY FOR EACH BOND OR CONTRACT:

BON	IDS ^J :	
1.	Purpose of Issue:	
	Series:	_
	Date of Issue:	_
	Coupon Rate:	_
	Maturity Date:	_
	Levy:	_
	Revenue:	-
2.	Purpose of Issue:	
	Series:	
	Date of Issue:	
	Coupon Rate:	
	Maturity Date:	
	Levy:	
	Revenue:	
CON	TRACTS ^k :	
3.	Purpose of Contract:	
	Title:	_
	Date:	_
	Principal Amount:	_
	Maturity Date:	_
	Levy:	_
	Revenue:	- -
4.	Purpose of Contract:	
	Title:	
	Date:	
	Principal Amount:	
	Maturity Date:	
	Levy:	
	Revenue:	

Use multiple copies of this page as necessary to separately report all bond and contractual obligations per 32-1-1603, C.R.S.

Page 2 of 4 DLG 70 (Rev.9/23)

Notes:

A Taxing Entity—A jurisdiction authorized by law to impose ad valorem property taxes on taxable property located within its territorial limits (please see notes B, C, and H below). For purposes of the DLG 70 only, a taxing entity is also a geographic area formerly located within a taxing entity's boundaries for which the county assessor certifies a valuation for assessment and which is responsible for payment of its share until retirement of financial obligations incurred by the taxing entity when the area was part of the taxing entity. For example: an area of excluded property formerly within a special district with outstanding general obligation debt at the time of the exclusion or the area located within the former boundaries of a dissolved district whose outstanding general obligation debt service is administered by another local government^C.

B Governing Body—The board of county commissioners, the city council, the board of trustees, the board of directors, or the board of any other entity that is responsible for the certification of the *taxing entity's* mill levy. For example: the board of county commissioners is the governing board <u>ex officio</u> of a county public improvement district (PID); the board of a water and sanitation district constitutes <u>ex officio</u> the board of directors of the water subdistrict.

^C **Local Government** - For purposes of this line on Page 1 of the DLG 70, the *local government* is the political subdivision under whose authority and within whose boundaries the *taxing entity* was created. The *local government* is authorized to levy property taxes on behalf of the *taxing entity*. For example, for the purposes of this form:

- 1. a municipality is both the *local government* and the *taxing entity* when levying its own levy for its entire jurisdiction;
- 2. a city is the *local government* when levying a tax on behalf of a business improvement district (BID) *taxing entity* which it created and whose city council is the BID board;
- 3. a fire district is the *local government* if it created a subdistrict, the *taxing entity*, on whose behalf the fire district levies property taxes.
- 4. a town is the *local government* when it provides the service for a dissolved water district and the town board serves as the board of a dissolved water district, the *taxing entity*, for the purpose of certifying a levy for the annual debt service on outstanding obligations.

Degroes Assessed Value - There will be a difference between gross assessed valuation and net assessed valuation reported by the county assessor only if there is a "tax increment financing" entity (see below), such as a downtown development authority or an urban renewal authority, within the boundaries of the *taxing entity*. The board of county commissioners certifies each *taxing entity's* total mills upon the *taxing entity's Gross Assessed Value* found on Line 2 of Form DLG 57.

E Certification of Valuation by County Assessor, Form DLG 57 - The county assessor(s) uses this form (or one similar) to provide valuation for assessment information to a *taxing entity*. The county assessor must provide this certification no later than August 25th each year and may amend it, one time, prior to December 10th. Each entity must use the FINAL valuation provided by assessor when certifying a tax levy.

F TIF Area—A downtown development authority (DDA) or urban renewal authority (URA), may form plan areas that use "tax increment financing" to derive revenue from increases in assessed valuation (gross minus net, Form DLG 57 Line 3) attributed to the activities/improvements within the plan area. The DDA or URA receives the differential revenue of each overlapping *taxing entity's* mill levy applied against the *taxing entity's* gross assessed value after subtracting the *taxing entity's* revenues derived from its mill levy applied against the net assessed value.

GNET Assessed Value—The total taxable assessed valuation from which the *taxing entity* will derive revenues for its uses. It is found on Line 4 of Form DLG 57. **Please Note:** A downtown development authority (DDA) may be both a *taxing entity* and have also created its own *TIF area* and/or have a URA *TIF Area* within the DDA's boundaries. As a result DDAs may both receive operating revenue from their levy applied to their certified *NET assessed value* and also receive TIF revenue generated by any *tax entity* levies overlapping the DDA's *TIF Area*, including the DDA's own operating levy.

Page 3 of 4 DLG 70 (Rev 9/23)

- ^H General Operating Expenses (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 1)—The levy and accompanying revenue reported on Line 1 is for general operations and includes, in aggregate, all levies for and revenues raised by a *taxing entity* for purposes not lawfully exempted and detailed in Lines 3 through 7 on Page 1 of the DLG 70. For example: a fire pension levy is included in general operating expenses, unless the pension is voter-approved, if voter-approved, use Line 7 (Other).
- ¹ Temporary Tax Credit for Operations (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 2)—The Temporary General Property Tax Credit/ Temporary Mill Levy Rate Reduction of 39-1-111.5, C.R.S. may be applied to the *taxing entity*'s levy for general operations to effect refunds. Temporary Tax Credits (TTCs) are not applicable to other types of levies (non-general operations) certified on this form because these levies are adjusted from year to year as specified by the provisions of any contract or schedule of payments established for the payment of any obligation incurred by the *taxing entity* per 29-1-301(1.7), C.R.S., or they are certified as authorized at election per 29-1-302(2)(b), C.R.S.
- J General Obligation Bonds and Interest (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 3)—Enter on this line the total levy required to pay the annual debt service of all general obligation bonds. Per 29-1-301(1.7) C.R.S., the amount of revenue levied for this purpose cannot be greater than the amount of revenue required for such purpose as specified by the provisions of any contract or schedule of payments. Title 32, Article 1 Special districts and subdistricts must complete Page 2 of the DLG 70.
- ^K Contractual Obligation (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 4)—If repayment of a contractual obligation with property tax has been approved at election and it is not a general obligation bond (shown on Line 3), the mill levy is entered on this line. Per 29-1-301(1.7) C.R.S., the amount of revenue levied for this purpose cannot be greater than the amount of revenue required for such purpose as specified by the provisions of any contract or schedule of payments.
- ^L Capital Expenditures (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 5)—These revenues are not subject to the statutory property tax revenue limit <u>if</u> they are approved by counties and municipalities <u>through public hearings</u> pursuant to 29-1-301(1.2) C.R.S. and for special districts <u>through approval from the Division of Local Government</u> pursuant to 29-1-302(1.5) C.R.S. or for any *taxing entity* if <u>approved at election</u>. Only levies approved by these methods should be entered on Line 5.
- M Refunds/Abatements (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 6)—The county assessor reports on the Certification of Valuation (DLG 57 Line 11) the amount of revenue from property tax that the local government did not receive in the prior year because taxpayers were given refunds for taxes they had paid or they were given abatements for taxes originally charged to them due to errors made in their property valuation. The local government was due the tax revenue and would have collected it through an adjusted mill levy if the valuation errors had not occurred. Since the government was due the revenue, it may levy, in the subsequent year, a mill to collect the refund/abatement revenue. An abatement/refund mill levy may generate revenues up to, but not exceeding, the refund/abatement amount from Form DLG 57 Line 11.
 - 1. Please Note: Pursuant to Article X, Section 3 of the Colorado Constitution, if the taxing entity is in more than one county, as with all levies, the abatement levy must be uniform throughout the entity's boundaries and certified the same to each county. To calculate the abatement/refund levy for a taxing entity that is located in more than one county, first total the abatement/refund amounts reported by each county assessor, then divide by the taxing entity's total net assessed value, then multiply by 1,000 and round down to the nearest three decimals to prevent levying for more revenue than was abated/refunded. This results in an abatement/refund mill levy that will be uniformly certified to all of the counties in which the taxing entity is located even though the abatement/refund did not occur in all the counties.
- Nother (DLG 70 Page 1 Line 7)—Report other levies and revenue not subject to 29-1-301 C.R.S. that were not reported above. For example: a levy for the purposes of television relay or translator facilities as specified in sections 29-7-101, 29-7-102, and 29-7-105 and 32-1-1005 (1) (a), C.R.S.; a voter-approved fire pension levy; a levy for special purposes such as developmental disabilities, open space, etc.

Page 4 of 4 DLG 70 (Rev.9/23)

Section 7. <u>Appropriations</u>. That the amounts set forth as expenditures and balances remaining, as specifically allocated in the budget attached hereto, are hereby appropriated from the revenue of each fund, to each fund, for the purposes stated and no other.

Section 8. <u>Budget Certification.</u> That the budget shall be certified by Director DePeder, Vice President and Secretary of the District, and made a part of the public records of Baseline Metropolitan District No. 1.

The foregoing Resolution was seconded by Director DePeder.

[Remainder of Page Left Blank Intentionally.]

ADOPTED AND APPROVED this 2nd day of November 2023.

Docusigned by:

Fum PUVY

B786C9D42F3647F...

President

STATE OF COLORADO)
COUNTY OF BROOMFIELD))ss
BASELINE)
METROPOLITAN)
DISTRICT NO. 1)

I, Time DePeder, Vice President and Secretary to the Board of Directors of the Baseline Metropolitan District No. 1, Broomfield County, Colorado, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages constitute a true and correct copy of the record of proceedings of the Board of Directors of said District, adopted at a meeting of the Board held via Microsoft Teams on Thursday, November 2, 2023 at 1:00 p.m., as recorded in the official record of the proceedings of the District, insofar as said proceedings relate to the budget hearing for fiscal year 2024; that said proceedings were duly had and taken; that the meeting was duly held; and that the persons were present at the meeting as therein shown. Further, I hereby certify that the attached budget is a true and accurate copy of the 2024 budget of the District.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the official seal of the District this 2nd day of November, 2023.





Management Budget Report

BOARD OF DIRECTORS BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1

We have presented the accompanying forecasted budget of revenues, expenditures and fund balances for the year ending December 31, 2024, including the comparative information of the forecasted estimate for the year ending December 31, 2023 and the actual historic information for the year 2022.

These financial statements are designed for management purposes and are intended for those who are knowledgeable about these matters. We have not audited, reviewed or compiled the accompanying forecast and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or provide any assurance about whether the forecast is in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Substantially all the disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted. If the omitted disclosures were included in the forecast, they might influence the user's conclusions about the results of operations for the forecasted periods.

Pinnacle Consulting Group, Inc.

January 25, 2024

BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1								
STATEMENT OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES								
GENERAL FUND								
		(a)		(b)		(c)		(f)
		2022		2023		2023		2024
		Audited		Adopted		Projected		Adopted
Revenues		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget
MGDA - TIF	\$	667,234	\$	860,331	\$	860,331	\$	1,157,528
MGDA - SEF		136,287				-		-
Service Fees District # 5		-		1		1		1
Service Fees District # 6		-		2		2		2
Service Fees District # 7		-		2		2		2
Service Fees District # 8		-		2		2		2
Service Fees District # 9		-		2		2		2
Interest & Other Income		49,787		4,000		125,000		33,775
Total Revenues	\$	853,307	\$	864,340	\$	985,340	\$	1,191,313
Expenditures								
Operations and Maintenance:								
Landscape Maintenance	\$	43,376	\$	144,538	\$	140,000	\$	150,851
Hardscape Maintenance		7,330		17,000		11,263		20,000
Undeveloped Public Land		1,328		2,000		1,500		2,500
Stormwater Facilities		-		5,000		5,000		15,000
Amenities		2,643		4,000		2,000		4,000
Miscellaneous Services		-		1,000		1,000		1,000
Repairs and Replacements		33,878		37,000		48,000		82,500
Utilities		36,032		28,325		30,000		30,000
Facilities Management		58,695		77,000		77,000		90,000
HOA Maintenance Services		-		65,000		55,000		125,045
Administration:				00,000		33,000		.20,0.0
Accounting		101,758		104,000		115,000		121,800
Audit		5,500		6,000		6,000		6,000
District Management		107,351		110,500		95,000		117,000
Directors Fees		6,854		14,400		14,400		14,000
Election Expense		11,238		16,000		12,248		14,000
Engineering and Professional Services		1,675		7,500		7,500		7,500
Insurance		23,940		25,200		24,191		32,000
Legal		69,683		132,000		90,000		132,000
Office and Other		9,881		32,715		12,000		15,000
Website Hosting		-		52,715		12,000		1,166
CCOB Administration Fee				4.651		4.651		5,620
Total Expenditures	\$	521,162	\$	833,829	\$	751.753	\$	972,982
Total Experiorures	Ą		Ф	,	7	- ,	Ψ	•
Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures	\$	332,145	\$	30,511	\$	233,588	\$	218,331
		4 450 040		4 000 004		4 40= 400		4 = 40 ===
Beginning Fund Balance	\$	1,153,043	\$	1,355,351	\$	1,485,189	\$	1,718,777
Ending Fund Balance	\$	1,485,189	\$	1,385,862	\$	1,718,777	\$	1,937,108
	<u> </u>	1,400,100	Ψ	1,000,002	Ψ	1,7 10,777	Ψ	1,007,100
COMPONENTS OF ENDING FUND BALANCE:								
TABOR Reserve (3% of Revenues)	\$	25,599	\$	25,599	\$	25,599	\$	35,739
Operating Reserve (25% of Expenses)	Ψ	208,457	Ψ	208,457	Ψ	224,521	Ψ	224,521
Repairs and Maintenance Reserve		1,251,133		1,151,805		1,468,656		1,676,847
Total Components of Ending Fund Balance	\$	1,485,189	\$	1,385,862	\$	1,718,777	\$	1,937,108
Total Components of Ending Fund Balance	Ψ	1,400,100	Ψ	1,000,002	Ψ	1,710,777	Ψ	1,337,100
Mill Levy								
Operating		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000
Debt Service		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000
Total Mill Levy		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000
		3.030		0.000		0.000		0.500
Assessed Value	\$	120	\$	110	\$	110	\$	110
			T .		T .		, T	
Property Tax Revenue								
Operating		_		_		_		_
Debt Service		-		-				_
Total Property Tax Revenue	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_
	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ.		}	

BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1								
STATEMENT OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES								
DEBT SERVICE FUND								
		(a)		(b)		(c)		(f)
		2022		2023		2023		2024
		Audited		Amended		Projected		Adopted
Revenues		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget
Service Fees District # 2	\$	137,075	\$	120,194	\$	120,194	\$	162,476
Service Fees District # 3		24,228		44,971		41,509		57,040
Service Fees District # 4		15,413		55,275		55,275		74,714
MGDA - TIF		7,106,261		7,845,105		7,845,105		9,764,674
Interest and Other Income		237,095		700,000		700,000		576,000
Total Revenues	\$	7,520,070	44	8,765,545	\$	8,762,083	\$	10,634,904
Expenditures								
2018A Bond Interest	\$	3,613,894	\$	3,613,894	\$	3,613,894	\$	3,580,425
2018A Bond Principal		-		645,000		645,000		1,040,000
2021A Bond Interest		677,750		677,750		677,750		677,750
2021B Bond Interest		-		294,319		294,319		319,858
2021B Bond Principal		-		1,713,885		1,713,885		5,022,146
Trustee and Paying Agent Fees		7,000		13,500		13,500		13,500
Total Expenditures	\$	4,298,644	\$	6,958,347	\$	6,958,347	\$	10,653,679
Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures	\$	3,221,427	\$	1,807,198	\$	1,803,736	\$	(18,775)
Beginning Fund Balance	\$	9,396,152	\$	12,617,579	\$	12,617,579	\$	14,421,314
Ending Fund Balance	\$	12,617,579	\$	14,424,776	\$	14,421,314	\$	14,402,539
COMPONENTS OF ENDING FUND DAY AND								
COMPONENTS OF ENDING FUND BALANCE:		0.505.015	•	0.505.675		0.505.015	•	0.505.645
Reserve Requirement	\$	6,535,815	\$	6,535,815	\$	6,535,815	\$	6,535,815
Capitalized Interest		677,750		7 000 500		7 000 500		7 000 500
Surplus Fund (Max Surplus \$7,866,500)		5,404,014		7,866,500		7,866,500		7,866,500
Bond Fund		-		22,461		18,999		224
Total Components of Ending Fund Balance	\$	12,617,579	\$	14,424,776	\$	14,421,314	\$	14,402,539

BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 STATEMENT OF REVENUES & EXPENDITURES								
CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND								
OAITALT ROBLOTOT GND								
		(a)		(b)		(c)		(f)
		2022		2023		2023		2024
		Audited		Adopted		Projected		Adopted
Revenues		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget
Capital Advances - LDA	\$	6,039,838	\$	26,714,918	\$	4,850,816	\$	31,484,531
Capital Advances - Other	Ť	3,325,898		1,521,960		-		
Capital Reimbursements		581,142		6,590,276		880,227		5,749,192
MGDA - Use Fee		1,045,011		524,250		524,250		2,380,095
MGDA - SEF Fee		-		72,000		160,000		99,900
Interest and Other Income		296,626		2,500		785,000		2,500
Total Revenues	\$	11,288,514	\$	35,425,904	\$	7,200,293	\$	39,716,218
Expenditures								
Capital Outlay-Infrastructure								
District Management (PCGI Gen. Cap.)	\$	51,660	\$	50,000	\$	30,000	\$	49,920
District Planning/Engineering Mgmt (MRES Gen Cap)		43,902		40,000		20,000		40,000
District Planning/Engineering (Gen Cap)		160,093		300,000		100,000		150,000
District Erosion Control (General Capital)		-		-		100,000		150,000
Sheridan Parkway Phase 1		85,766		112,842		160,810		89,930
Parkside West (Phase 1)		9,834,394		2,435,723		3,324,998		2,119,394
Preble Creek Drainage		323,384		7,216		21,872		<u> </u>
Linear Park Phase 1 (Big Green)		8,861		2,171,174		180,638		2,642,310
Monumentation Phase 1		665		6,026		4,166		3,226
Preble Creek Median Landscaping		50,146		15,007		24,896		-
Center Street District		113,305		1,290,000		69,978		2,396,718
Southeast Industrial		254,046		66,578		48,923		132,114
West Sheridan Residential (Phase 2)		1,479,558		1,235,676		1,198,562		602,465
Southlands		346,993		15,953,630		50,031		18,267,576
Linear Park Phase 2 & Drainage		328,010		4,415,545		245,190		3,755,574
160th Avenue		509,636		7,565,018		3,505,213		1,120,000
Sheridan Parkway Phase 2 Monumentation Phase 2		380,790 170,495		4,872,263 9,798		1,363,523 20,957		4,299,788 9,798
		448,904		56,959		772,528		251,619
Filing 2 Replat C Ph 3 (Flex Industrial 3/4) Parkside West (Phase 3)		260,238		7,993,417		473,065		11,494,124
Huron Street (Design)		156,668		300.000		8,640		1,634,693
Linear Park Phase 4		11,300		434,348		242,059		242,059
Parkside West (Phase 2)		2,601,653		5,118,303		2,647,855		3,681,029
Linear Park Phase 3		2,001,033		5,110,505		2,047,033		517,860
Monumentation Phase 3						35.388		238,040
2023 Seeding and Track Establishment						210,000		230,040
2024 Seeding and Track Establishment						210,000		150,000
West Sheridan Residential (Phase 1)		52,210				96,845		100,000
Baseline Rd (Hwy 7) Frontage Landscape (Tract TT)		11,093				26,338		
Parkside East Intracts Design		-		_		-		855,633
Creative Office Offsites Design		_		_		_		500,000
Sanitary Sewer Trunk Line		128,301		44,650		-		-
Alcott Way Phase 2		24.000				-		_
Water Main (16th/Sheridan)			<u> </u>	1,406,766		_		_
Water Main (Southlands)		_		2,058,735		_		_
Total Expenditures	\$	17,836,072	\$	57,959,674	\$	14,982,475	\$	55,393,870
Payanua Oyar/(IIndar) Fyraandii	•	(C F 47 FFC)	•	(00 500 770)	•	(7 700 400)	•	(AE 077 050
Revenues Over/(Under) Expenditures	\$	(6,547,558)	*	(22,533,770)	Þ	(7,782,182)	\$	(15,677,652
Beginning Fund Balance		31,024,126		22,533,770		24,476,568		16,694,386
Ending Fund Balance	\$	24,476,568	\$	_	\$	16,694,386	\$	1,016,734
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>	

BASELINE METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 1 (Formerly known as North Park Metropolitan District No. 1) 2024 BUDGET MESSAGE

Baseline Metropolitan District No. 1 (Formerly known as North Park Metropolitan District No. 1) is a quasi-municipal corporation organized and operated pursuant to provisions set forth in the Colorado Special District Act. The District was formed in September 2001. The District was established for approximately 900 acres located entirely within the boundaries of the City of Broomfield, Colorado. Along with its companion Districts No. 2-9 ("Finance Districts"), this "Service District" was organized to provide the inhabitants of the Development with water, sewer, streets, safety protection, parks and recreation, transportation, television relay and translation facilities, mosquito control, and limited fire protection services and to dedicate when appropriate some of the public improvements to the other entities as appropriate.

The District has no employees at this time and all operations and administrative functions are contracted.

The budget is prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is consistent with the basis of accounting used in presenting the District's financial statements.

In preparing the 2024 budget, the following goals are foremost for the District:

• Provide required construction and operations services as desired by the property owner of the District in the most efficient manner possible.

General Fund

Revenues

The District budgeted revenues of \$1,191,313, which consists primarily of MGDA revenues of \$1,157,528. The District certified 0.00 mills on an assessed value of \$110.

Expenses

The District budgeted General Fund expenditures of \$972,982. Increased management and facilities maintenance costs are anticipated with increased build out of the District.

Fund Balances/Reserves

The District has provided for an emergency reserve fund equal to at least 3% of fiscal year spending for 2024, as defined under TABOR and holds the TABOR reserve for District Nos. 1-9. Ending fund balance for the fiscal year ended 2024 is projected to be \$1,937,108.

Debt Service Fund

Revenues

The District budgeted total Debt Service revenues of \$10,634,904 primarily from Service Fees from Districts No. 2-4 in the amount of \$294,230 and MGDA revenues of \$9,764,674.

Expenses

The District budgeted total Debt Service expenditures of \$10,653,679. Expenses include principal and interest payments on the 2018 and 2021 bonds.

Fund Balances/Reserves

The District anticipates an ending fund balance \$14,402,539 in 2024 which is sufficient for the reserve requirement of \$6,535,815.

Capital Projects Fund

Revenues

The District budgeted total Capital Projects Fund revenues of \$39,716,218 from MGDA revenues, capital advances and interest income.

Expenses

The District budgeted total Capital Projects Fund revenues of \$55,393,870 for design and construction of public infrastructure.

Fund Balances/Reserves

The District anticipates an ending fund balance for the Capital Projects Fund of \$1,016,734.

___ County Tax Entity Code AMENDED CERTIFICATION OF VALUATION BY DOLA LGID/SID_

New Tax Entity? YES X NO

BROOMFIELD

COUNTY ASSESSOR

Date 12/15/2023

NAME OF TAX ENTITY: BASELINE METRO DIST 1

USE FOR STATUTORY PROPERTY	TAX REVENUE LIMIT CALCUT AT	HON ("5.5%" LIMIT) ONLY
Control of the control		TICH JUST THE TENTE OF THE TENT

N ACC	CORDANCE WITH 39-5-121(2)(a) and 39-5-128(1), C.R.S., AND NO LATER THAN AUGUST 25, THE ASSESSMENT FOR THE TAXABLE YEAR 2023	ASSES	SSOR
1.	PREVIOUS YEAR'S NET TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION:	1.	\$110
2.	CURRENT YEAR'S GROSS TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION: #	2.	\$110
3	LESS TOTAL TIF AREA INCREMENTS, IF ANY:	3.	\$0
4.	CURRENT YEAR'S NET TOTAL TAXABLE ASSESSED VALUATION:	4.	\$ 110
5.	NEW CONSTRUCTION: *	5.	\$ 0
6.	INCREASED PRODUCTION OF PRODUCING MINE: ≈	6.	\$ <u>0</u>
7.	ANNEXATIONS/INCLUSIONS:	7.	\$ 0
8.	PREVIOUSLY EXEMPT FEDERAL PROPERTY: ≈	8.	\$ <u>0</u>
9.	NEW PRIMARY OIL OR GAS PRODUCTION FROM ANY PRODUCING OIL AND GAS	9.	\$ 0
	LEASEHOLD OR LAND (29-1-301(1)(b), C.R.S.): Φ		
10.	TAXES RECEIVED LAST YEAR ON OMITTED PROPERTY AS OF AUG. 1 (29-1-301(1)(a), C.R.S.). Includes all revenue collected on valuation not previously certified:	10.	\$ \$0.00
11.	TAXES ABATED AND REFUNDED AS OF AUG. 1 (29-1-301(1)(a), C.R.S.) and (39-10-114(1)(a)(I)(B), C.R.S.):	11.	\$ \$0.00
	This value reflects personal property exemptions IF enacted by the jurisdiction as authorized by Art. X, Sec. 20(8)(b), Onew Construction is defined as: Taxable real property structures and the personal property connected with the structure Jurisdiction must submit to the Division of Local Government respective Certifications of Impact in order for the values calculation; use Forms DLG 52 & 52A. Jurisdiction must apply to the Division of Local Government before the value can be treated as growth in the limit calculation.	to be	treated as growth in the limit

USE FOR TABOR "LOCAL GROWTH" CALCULATION ONLY

	CURRENT YEAR'S TOTAL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL REAL PROPERTY: ¶	1.	\$ 420
DL	DITIONS TO TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY		
2.	CONSTRUCTION OF TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENTS: *	2.	\$0
3.	ANNEXATIONS/INCLUSIONS:	3.	\$0
4.	INCREASED MINING PRODUCTION: §	4.	\$0
5.	PREVIOUSLY EXEMPT PROPERTY:	5.	\$0
6.	OIL OR GAS PRODUCTION FROM A NEW WELL:	6.	\$ 0
7.	TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY OMITTED FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S TAX WARRANT: (If land and/or a structure is picked up as omitted property for multiple years, only the most current year's actual value can be reported as omitted property.):	7.	\$ <u>0</u>
DEL	ETIONS FROM TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY		
8.	DESTRUCTION OF TAXABLE REAL PROPERTY IMPROVEMENTS:	8.	\$0
9.	DISCONNECTIONS/EXCLUSIONS:	9.	\$0
10.	PREVIOUSLY TAXABLE PROPERTY:	10.	\$0
	This includes the actual value of all taxable real property plus the actual value of religious, private school, and charitable re Construction is defined as newly constructed taxable real property structures. Includes production from new mines and increases in production of existing producing mines.	eal pro	pperty.

TOTAL ACTUAL VALUE OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY

\$420

IN ACC	ORDA	NCE WITH	39-5-128(1.5),	C.R.S.,	THE AS	SESSOR	PROVIDES:	

HB21-1312 ASSESSED VALUE OF EXEMPT BUSINESS PERSONAL PROPERTY (ESTIMATED): ** \$0

The tax revenue lost due to this exempted value will be reimbursed to the tax entity by the County Treasurer in accordance

with 39-3-119.5(3), C.R.S.